THE ENGINEERING TITLE ACT STUDY:

The Practice/Title Act Distinction and Protection of Public Health, Safety and Welfare

Submitted to:

The California Department of Consumer Affairs

California Legislature

Joint Legislative Sunset Review Committee

November 2002



Institute for Social Research

California State University, Sacramento 6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101 (916) 278-5737 FAX (916) 278-5150

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Sur	mmary of Significant Findings and Recommendations	i
Chapter 1.	Introduction to the Title Act Study	1
Chapter 2.	Theory of Professional Regulation: A Review of the Literature	5
Chapter 3.	Engineering Licensure in California and its Comparison States	9
Chapter 4.	Engineering Discipline, Employment Industry and the Registration of Engineers	21
Chapter 5.	NCEES Examination Pass Rates	37
Chapter 6.	Discipline Impacts on Public Health, Safety and Welfare	52
Chapter 7.	Uses of Licensing by State and Local Agencies	97
Chapter 8.	Overlap in Educational Preparation	. 109
Chapter 9.	Discipline Task Profiles	. 128
Chapter 10.	Overlap in NCEES Exams Outline Content	. 161
Chapter 11.	Summary of Findings	. 197
Bibliography of	of Monographs	. 210
Bibliography of	of Periodical Literature	. 211
Data Referen	ce Sources	. 218
Appendix A	Disciplines Licensed by Massachusetts	. 219
Appendix B	ISR Interview with Ten Comparison States on Regulatory Model and Request for Data	. 220
Appendix C	Request for Consent of Release of Pass Rates	. 225
Appendix D	Examples of Code Sections	. 226
Appendix E	Titles included in the California Code of Regulations	. 230
Appendix F	Agency List for California Code of Regulations	. 231
Appendix G	California Universities Graduate Engineering Programs	. 232
Appendix H	NCEES Exam Outline Review Material	. 239
Appendix I	Comments in Response to Forum Questions from a Chemical Engine	er 260

TABLE OF TABLES

Chapter:

3.1	Historical Development of Engineering Licensing in California	. 15
3.2	Source of Identification as a Discipline-Based Licensing State	. 16
3.3	Status of Engineering Disciplines in California and the Nation	. 17
3.4	Ranking on Selected Demographic and Construction Variables of Potential Comparison States	. 18
3.5	Board vs. Agency-Dominated Classification of California and Ten Comparison States	
3.5	Exemptions to Licensing in California and Ten Comparison States, 2001	. 20
Chapt	ter 4	
4.1	Distribution of Employed Engineers by Discipline for California and Ten Comparison States, 2000	. 25
4.2	Distribution of Employed Engineers by Discipline for Discipline-based and Generic Licensing States, 2000	. 26
4.3	Distribution of Employed Engineers by Discipline, 1988-90 and 2000	. 27
4.4	Number of Employed Engineers per 100,000 Population by Discipline for California and Ten Comparison States, 2000	. 28
4.5	Average Rate of Employed Engineers per 100,000 Population by Discipline for Discipline-based and Generic Licensing States, 2000	
4.6	Distribution of Employed Engineers by Industry for California and Six Comparison States, 1998	. 30
4.7	National Distribution of Employed Engineers by Industry, Discipline and Year, 1988-1990 and 2000	. 31
4.8	Registration Rate Among Employed Engineers for California and Eight Comparison States, 2000	. 32
4.9	Number of Registered Engineers per 100,000 Population and per \$1,000,000 Heavy Construction for California and Six Comparison States, 2000/2001	
4.10	Registration Rate Among Employed Engineers by Discipline for California and Rhode Island, 2000/2001	. 33
4.11	Number of Registered Engineers per 100,000 Population by Discipline for California and Rhode Island, 2000/2001	. 34

4.12	Year License Issued by Discipline, for Engineers with Current California License as of 2002	
4.13	Percent of Currently Licensed California Engineers with Licenses Issued Before and After 1980	
Chap	ter 5	
5.1	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Engineering Fundamentals Examination, 1997 - 2001	. 40
5.2a	Average Weighted and Unweighted Z-Scores for State Pass Rates on the Engineering Fundamentals Examination by Regulatory Model, 1997-2001	. 41
5.2b	Average Weighted and Unweighted Z-Scores for State Pass Rates on the Engineering Fundamentals Examination by Licensing Model, 1997-2001	. 41
5.3	Summary of Standard Normal (Z-scores) for California Pass Rates on All Discipline Examinations	. 42
5.4	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Civil Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001	. 43
5.4a	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Civil/Transportation Depth Examination, 2000 - 2001	. 44
5.4b	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Civil/Water Resources Depth Examination, 2000 - 2001	. 44
5.4c	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Civil/Structural Depth Examination, 2000 - 2001	. 44
5.4d	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Civil/Geotechnical Depth Examination, 2000 - 2001	. 45
5.4e	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Civil/Environmental Depth Examination, 2000 - 2001	. 45
5.5	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Mechanical Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001	. 46
5.6	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Mechanical Engineering Depth Examinations, 2001	. 46
5.7	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Electrical Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001	. 47
5.8	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Chemical Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001	. 47

5.9	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Control Systems Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.10	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Fire Protection Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.11	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Industrial Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.12	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Petroleum Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.13	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Metallurgical Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.14	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Nuclear Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.15	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Agricultural Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001
5.16	Standard Normal (Z-Scores) for State Pass Rates on the Manufacturing Engineering Examination, 1997 - 2001
Chapt	er 6
6.1	Percentage Distribution of Number of Claims, Claim Dollars and Client Fees by Discipline, DPIC 1996 - 2000
6.2	Percentage Distribution of Claims and Claim Dollars for Types of Damages by Engineering Discipline, DPIC 1996 - 2000
6.3	Percentage Distribution of Claims and Claim Dollars for Suing Parties by Engineering Discipline, DPIC 1996 - 2000
6.4	Percentage Distribution of Claims, Claim Dollars and Fees by Project Type and Engineering Discipline, DPIC 1996 - 2000
6.5	Fiscal Year in which Complaint Case Was Opened by Type of License Held by Subject of Complaint (California)70
6.6	Cases Used for Analysis: Category of Code Section Allegedly Violated by Type of License Held by Subject of Complaint (California)70
6.7	Type of Engineering License Held by Subject of Complaint and Source of Complaint by Fiscal Year in which Case Was Opened (California)71
6.8	Compare California's Distribution of Complaints and Employed Engineers72

6.9	Compare California's Distribution of Complaints and Registered Engineers73
6.10	Percentage Distribution of California's Employed Engineers by Industry, 1998 . 73
6.11	Distribution of Licenses Held by Complaint Subjects (California)74
6.12	Distribution of Cases in License Categories (California)74
6.13	Percentage Distribution of Violation Category by Source of Complaint (California)
6.14	Percentage Distribution of Violation Categories by Fiscal Year in which Case Was Opened (California)
6.15	Summary Percentage Distribution of Code Section Allegedly Violated by Fiscal Year in which Case Was Opened (California)
6.16	Detailed Percentage Distribution of Code Section Allegedly Violated by Fiscal Year in which Case Was Opened (California)
6.17	Percentage Distribution of Code Sections Allegedly Violated by Violation Categories (California)
6.18	Closing Code by Fiscal Year in which Case Was Opened (California)78
6.19	Percentage Distribution of Closing Code by Source of Complaint (California) 79
6.20	Percentage Distribution of Closing Code by Violation Category (California) 79
6.21	Percentage Distribution of Source of Complaint by Type of Engineering License Held by Subject of Complaint (California)
6.22	Percentage Distribution of Source of Complaint by License Categories (California)
6.23	Percentage Distribution of Violation Categories by Type of Engineering License Held by Subject of Complaint (California)
6.24	Percentage Distribution of Violation Categories by License Categories (California)8
6.25	Summary Percentage Distribution of Section Allegedly Violated by Type of Engineering License Held by Subject of Complaint (California)82

6.26	Summary Percentage Distribution of Section Allegedly Violated by License Categories (California)	. 83
6.27	Detailed Percentage Distribution of Section Allegedly Violated by Type of Engineering License Held by Subject of Complaint (California)	. 84
6.28	Detailed Percentage Distribution of Code Section Charged by License Categories (California)	. 85
6.29	Percentage Distribution of Closing Code by Type of Engineering License Held Subject of Complaint (California)	
6.30	Percentage Distribution of Closing Code by License Categories (California)	. 87
6.31	Compare Discipline Distribution of California Complaints and DPIC Insurance Claims	. 88
6.32	Distribution of Complaint Cases and Closing Codes by Discipline for California and Massachusetts	
6.33	Category of Alleged Violation by Discipline for California and Massachusetts	. 90
6.34	Percentage Distribution for the Outcome of Massachusetts' Complaint Cases being in the Discipline	
6.35	Percentage Distribution for the Outcome of Massachusetts' Complaint Cases be Category of Alleged Violation	
6.36	Percentage Distribution of Type of Alleged Violation by License Status for California, Massachusetts and New York	. 93
6.37	Percentage Distribution of Summary Categories of Alleged Violation by License Status for California, Massachusetts and New York	. 94
6.38	Average Number of Closed Complaints Filed in California and Massachusetts 100,000 Employed Engineers	
6.39	Complaint Rates Against Licensed Engineers for California, Massachusetts, North, North Carolina and Texas	
6.40	Complaint Rates Against Unlicensed Subjects for California, New York and No Carolina	

Chapter 7

7.1	"Hits" in Title 16 of California Code of Regulations	. 102
7.2	Unedited References to Types of Engineers in Federal, State and County Co of Regulation	
7.3	Edited References to Types of Engineers in State and Individual County Cod of Regulation	
7.4	Types of References in State and County Codes of Regulation by Engineerin	
7.5	Prescriptive References in State and Individual County Codes of Regulation Engineering Discipline	
7.6	Summary of California State Personnel Board Engineering Job Class Registration Requirements	. 106
7.7	Distribution of California Permanent Civil Service Employees among Engineering Job Classes with and without Engineering Registration Requirements	. 108
Chap	ter 8	
8.1	ABET Accredited Engineering Programs in California (Accreditation Period Ending September 30, 2001)	. 114
8.2	Summary of Undergraduate Options/Specializations/Concentrations	. 115
8.3	Undergraduate Options/Specializations/Concentrations in Disciplines <i>Not Regulated</i> in California	. 116
8.4	Undergraduate Options/Specializations/Concentrations in California <i>Practice</i> Disciplines	
8.5	Undergraduate Options/Specializations/Concentrations in California <i>Title Act</i> Disciplines	
8.6	Summary of Selected Graduate Program Specializations	. 120
8.7	Undergraduate Engineering Degree Programs and Specialties at Seven Study Schools by Discipline and Type of Regulation	
8.8	Units Required for Engineering Degrees by School	. 122
8.9	Overlapping Units (Excluding General Education Courses) Required for Engineering Degrees by School	. 123
8.10	Percent Overlap by School	. 124

8.11	Percent Overlap (in Rank Order for Engineering Units)
8.12a	Educational Degrees Held by Chemical, Civil, Electrical, Industrial, Manufacturing, Mechanical, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers126
8.12b	Highest Engineering Degree for Agricultural and Control Systems Engineers . 126
8.12c	Highest Educational Level Completed by Traffic Engineers
8.12d	Highest Level of Education for Structural Engineers
8.12e	Bachelor's Degree Program for Manufacturing and Metallurgical Engineers 126
8.12f	Specialty of Highest Engineering Degree for Control Systems Engineers 126
8.13	Job Analysis Report Information on ABET Accredited Programs: Bachelor's Degree from ABET Accredited Program
Chapt	er 9
9.1	Items Rated Important on the Civil Engineering Occupation Analysis
9.2	Items Rated Important on the Special Civil Engineering Occupation Analysis . 134
9.3	Items Rated Critical on the Geotechnical Engineering Occupation Analysis 138
9.4	Items Rated Important on the Structural Engineering Occupation Analysis 141
9.5	Items Rated Important on the Electrical Engineering Occupation Analysis 144
9.6	Items Rated Important on the Mechanical Engineering Occupation Analysis 145
9.7	Items Rated Important on the Agricultural Engineering Occupation Analysis 147
9.8	Items Rated Important on the Chemical Engineering Occupation Analysis 151
9.9	Items Rated Important on the Control Systems Engineering Occupation Analysis
9.10	Items Rated Important on the Industrial Engineering Occupation Analysis 154
9.11	Items Rated Important on the Manufacturing Engineering Occupation Analysis
9.12	Items Rated Important on the Metallurgical Engineering Occupation Analysis. 156
9.13	Items Rated Important on the Petroleum Engineering Occupation Analysis 157
9.14	Items Rated Important on the Traffic Engineering Occupation Analysis 159

Chapter 10

10.1	Contact and Participation Rates for Subject Matter Experts Reviewing NCEES Exam Outlines
10.2	Number of Experts who Reviewed Each Pair of Exam Outlines
10.3	Selection Criteria for Experts Comparing Pairs of NCEES Exam Outlines 172
10.4	Computation of Percent of Industrial Engineering Exam Content Covered on the Computers Depth Module of the Electrical and Computer Engineering Exam 173
10.5	Computation of Percent of the Computers Depth Module of the Electrical and Computer Engineering Exam Content Covered on the Industrial Engineering Exam
10.6	Percent of Overlapping Content Among Practice and Title Discipline Exam Outlines (in Average Rank Order)
10.7	Number of Discipline Pairs with the Greatest Average Overlap in Exam Content
10.8	Percent of Title Act Discipline Exam Content Covered on Practice Act Discipline Exams
10.9	Percent of Civil Exam Content Covered on Other Exams
10.10	Percent of Electrical Exam Content Covered on Other Exams
10.11	Percent of Mechanical Exam Content Covered on Other Exams
10.12	Overlap in California Licenses
10.13	Sequence in which California Engineering Licenses were issued, for those with a License in More than One Discipline
10.14	Summary of Exams with More than Fifteen Percent Overlap in Content 186
10.15	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Chemical Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap
10.16	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Control Systems Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap
10.17	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Fire Protection Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap
10.18	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Industrial Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap

10.19	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Manufacturing Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%)+ Overlap	
10.20	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap between Sections of the Nuclear Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap	
10.21	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Machine Design Depth Module of the Mechanical Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap	
10.22	Percent of Experts Identifying Overlap Between Sections of the Structural Depth Module of Civil Engineering Exam and Exams with Noteworthy (15%+) Overlap	